PATENT 37-02

Attorney Docket No. 43397

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:
ANINDYA CHAKRABORTY

Group Art Unit: 2663

Application No. 09/813,576

Examiner: HYUN, SOON D

Filed: March 21, 2001

For: Method And Apparatus For A

Combined Bulk and Transactional Database Synchronous Scheme

RECEIVED

MAR 0 1 2002

Technology Center 2600

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98, the references listed on the enclosed Form PTO-1449 are submitted for consideration by the Examiner in the examination of the above-identified patent application. The full consideration of the references in their entirety by the Examiner is respectfully requested and encouraged. Also, it is respectfully requested that the references be entered into the record of the present application and that the Examiner place his or her initials in the appropriate area on the enclosed Form PTO-1449, thereby indicating the Examiner's consideration of each of the references.

A bona fide attempt is made to comply with §§ 1.97-98. The filing of this information disclosure statement should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made, nor is it to be construed to be an admission that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in § 1.56(b). Additionally, the submission of this IDS is for the purpose of providing a complete record and is not a concession that the references are prior art to the invention claimed in the patent application. The right is expressly reserved to establish an invention date earlier than the above-identified filing date in order to remove any reference submitted herewith as prior art should it be deemed appropriate to do so. Furthermore, the submission of the references is not to be taken as a concession that any reference represents art that is relevant or analogous to the claimed invention. Accordingly, the right to argue that any reference is not properly within the scope of prior art relevant to an examination of the claims in the above-identified application is also expressly reserved.

In re ANINDYA CHAKRABORTY Application No. 09/813,576

	tion Disclosure Statement is being filed:
than a date of (3) bef	§ 1.97(b): (1) within three months of the filing date of a national application other continued prosecution application under § 1.53(d); (2) within three months of the fentry of the national stage as set forth in § 1.491 in an international application; fore the mailing of a first Office action on the merits; or (4) before the mailing of a ffice action after the filing of a request for continued examination under § 1.114.
mailin	§ 1.97(c): after (1), (2), or (3) above (i.e., after paragraph (b)) and before the g date of any of a final action under § 1.113, a notice of allowance under § 1.311, action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and is accompanied by: the statement specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e): Each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement; or That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) (see "Fees" below).
	§ 1.97(d): after the period specified in § 1.97(c) and before payment of the issue d is accompanied by: the statement specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e): Each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement; or That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) (see "Fees" below).
	Under than a date of (3) bef first O Under mailing or an a

In re ANINDYA CHAKRABORTY Application No. 09/813,576

Copies Of The References

Enclosed herewith are copies of the references listed on the enclosed Form PTO-1449. A concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in § 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent, publication, or other information listed that is not in the English language is included either separate from applicant's specification or incorporated therein. Furthermore, a copy of the translation of a non-English language reference is included herewith if a written English-language translation of a non-English-language document, or portion thereof, is within the possession, custody, or control of, or is readily available to any individual designated in § 1.56(c).
A copy of a foreign search report is enclosed herewith.
Per 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(d), copies of the references listed on the enclosed Form PTO-1449 are not provided as they were previously submitted to, or cited by, the Office in an earlier application, and (1) the earlier application is properly identified in the information disclosure statement and is relied on for an earlier effective filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120; and (2) the information disclosure statement submitted in the earlier application complies with paragraphs (a) through (c) of this 37 C.F.R. § 198. The details of the parent application(s) relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. § 120 in which copies of the references were previously furnished are set out below:

U.S. APPLI	Status (check one)			
U.S. APPLICATIONS	U.S. FILING DATE	PATENTED	PENDING	ABANDONED
1.				
2.				
3.				

In re ANINDYA CHAKRABORTY Application No. 09/813,576

Fees	The IDS Fee o	by the applicant(s). f \$180.00 is due. d is check No. Deposit Account No.	in the amount of \$180. 501430 in the amount of \$180.	
Autho			es and Credit any Overpayment	
X	If any additional Deposit Account		onnection with this communication, please charge	
X	-		overpayment in connection with this communicat	tion.
Date:]	February 21, 200	Respectfully s	submitted,	
		The Law Office	ice of Kirk D. Williams	
		The second	706	

DENVER, C0 80210-1713

Customer Number 26327 1234 S. OGDEN ST

Kirk D. Williams, Esq., Reg. 42,229

FORM PTO-14		PARTMENT OF		Application Number	09/813,576
MODIFIED PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		Filing Date	March 21, 2001		
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT				First Named Inventor	Anindya Chakraborty
				Group Art Unit	2663
				Examiner Name	HYUN, SOON D
Sheet	1	of	1	Attorney Docket No.	43997

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS				
EXAMINER'S INITIALS	Cite No.	Document Number	ISSUE or PUBL. DATE (MM-DD-YYYY)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document
	AA	US - 5758150	05-26-1998	Bell et al.
	AB	US - 5778388	07-07-1998	Kawamura et al.
	AC	US - 5621721	04-15-1997	Vatuone
	AD	US - 6205449	03-20-2001	Rastogi et al.
	AE	US - 6230164	05-08-2001	Rekieta et al.
	AF	US - 6282280	08-28-2001	Rekieta et al.
	AG	US - 6330568	12-11-2001	Boothby et al.

EXAMINER'S INITIALS	Cite No.	OTHER DOCUMENTS
	АН	CLARENCE A. ELLIS, "Consistency and Correctness of Duplicate Database Systems," Proceedings of Sixth ACM Symposium on Operating Systems Principles, November 1997, pp. 67-84.
	AI	THEODORE JOHNSON and PADMASHREE KRISHNA, "Lazy Updates for Distributed Search Structure," Proceedings of the 1993 ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data, 1993, pp. 337-346.